


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the office action dated August 16, 2005, claims 1, 2, 5-11, 19, 21-24 are pending. The Examiner indicates that claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 11, 19, 21-24 are allowed, claims 6-9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph, for failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 11, 19 and 21-24.

In order to expedite the prosecution, Applicants decide to cancel claims 6-9 in favor of obtaining the patent, although Applicants do not agree with the Examiner's view on claims 6-9. Applicants reserve their rights to file continuing applications to continue the prosecution of the "method of use" claims in the future.

In view of the foregoing, the claims are in condition for allowance, early notice of which is requested. Should the application not be passed for issuance, the examiner is requested to contact the applicant's attorney to resolve the problem.

Respectfully submitted,



Fei-Fei Chao, Ph.D.
Reg. No. 43,538
Bingham McCutchen LLP
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94111-4067
Tel.: (202) 778-3179
Fax: (202)-778-6155

Date: November 16, 2005